PLANNING PROPOSAL REQUEST No. 229 Macquarie Grove Road, Cobbitty (Camden Council)



Prepared For: Trustees of the Sisters Of the Good Samaritan Prepared By:



Volume 2 Annexure "H" Camden Airport Operations Report (REHBEIN Airport Consulting)

October 2021



17 September, 2021 Our File Ref: M21116AL001 Contact: Bridget Wouts

Project Manager Trustees of the Sisters of the Good Samaritan c/o Pascoe Planning Solutions PO Box 349 Mittagong NSW 2575

Attention: Graham Pascoe

RE: 'WIVENHOE' – 229 MACQUARIE ROAD GROVE, COBBITTY NASF ASSESSMENT – AVIATION SERVICES

REHBEIN Airport Consulting was engaged by the Trustees of the Sisters of the Good Samaritan via Pascoe Planning Solutions to undertake an Aviation Safeguarding assessment of the land identified at 229 Macquarie Road Grove, Cobbitty (the subject land).

The subject land has been assessed against the National Airports Safeguarding Framework (NASF) Guidelines as they relate to the adjacent Camden Airport, for the purposes of a planning proposal request which, Pascoe Planning advises, seeks to facilitate a super lot subdivision and land use planning rationalisation.

1. PLANNING PROPOSAL

The subject land is owned by the Trustees of the Sisters of the Good Samaritan, who are proposing to subdivide it to permit a five (5) precinct super lot/subdivision. The proposed precincts are listed as follows:

- Conservation (dryland);
- Mater Dei School and Curtilage;
- Aspect school;
- Residue Site; and
- Wivenhoe Village and Residential.

Additionally, Pascoe Planning advises the proposal seeks to rationalise the land use zoning planning provisions.

The subject land is located adjacent to the north of Camden Airport, in close proximity to the eastern end of the main Runway 06/24 as illustrated in Figure 1 below.

DIRECTORS SENIOR ASSOCIATES Brendan L Rehbein Ashley P Ruffin Steve A Williams Brent F Woolgar Melissa L Braun Fred A Gattuso Ben J Hargreaves Martyn D Illingsworth David A Lenarduzzi Andrew M Pezzutti



BRISBANE • CAIRNS • MELBOURNE

Ground Floor, 55 Walsh Street, West Melbourne VIC 3003 (PO Box 192, North Melbourne VIC 3051) **Telephone:** (03) 9328 4166 www.lar.net.au Facsimile: (03) 9328 4255 17 September, 2021 Our File Ref: M21116AL001 Contact: Bridget Wouts

Figure 1: Location Plan



Source: Google Earth

2. CAMDEN AIRPORT

Camden Airport is a general aviation airport, which is operated by Camden Airport Limited (CAL). CAL's vision is to continue to operate and develop Camden Airport to be¹:

...the general aviation, emergency services, sport and recreational airport servicing the South West Growth Centre for Sydney, and a bespoke commercial and employment hub for the Camden region.

Camden Airport operates 24 hours 7 days a week and caters for a wide range of general aviation aircraft including fixed wing, helicopters and gliders. The airport provides for flight training, emergency services, gliding, ballooning and recreational flying along with not-for-profit youth organisations and aviation maintenance facilities.

¹ Camden Airport Limited *Camden Airport Master Plan 2020* (March 2021) Approved by the Commonwealth Minster for Infrastructure, Transport and Regional Development on 25 January 2021.

Camden Airport is a federally leased airport regulated under the *Airports Act 1996* (Cth) (the Act). The Department of Infrastructure, Transport, Regional Development and Communications protects the airspace around Camden Airport under Part 12 of the Act and the *Airports (Protection of Airspace) Regulations 1996*. Together these regulations establish the framework for the protection of airspace at and around Camden Airport.

The airspace above the airport's Obstacle Limitation Surface (OLS) and the Procedures for Air Navigation Services – Aircraft Operations (PANS-OPS) surface forms the airport's protected airspace. The protection of this airspace is discussed in **Section 3.6**.

2.2 Master Plan 2020

All leased federal airports are subject to a planning framework in the Act that requires the airport to prepare a Master Plan that is approved by the Minister.

The Camden Airport Master Plan 2020, approved by the Commonwealth Minister for Infrastructure, Transport, Regional Development and Communications on 25 January 2021, sets out a long-term plan to maintain and continue to development Camden Airport as one of the closest general aviation and recreational airports to the Sydney CBD, servicing the Greater Sydney region and the Southern Highlands. The Master Plan provides details of investment in maintaining and improving existing aviation infrastructure and supporting commercial development. A focus for the Master Plan is the development of the existing vacant land to the east of the existing airport hangar facilities, for both airside (hangar) and landside aviation and commercial development.

3. NATIONAL AIRPORTS SAFEGUARDING FRAMEWORK

The National Airports Safeguarding Framework (NASF) is a national land use planning framework that aims to:

- Improve community amenity by minimising aircraft noise-sensitive developments near airports including through the use of additional noise metrics and improved noise-disclosure mechanisms; and
- Improve safety outcomes by ensuring aviation safety requirements are recognised in land use planning decisions through guidelines being adopted by jurisdictions on various safety related issues.

The National Airports Safeguarding Advisory Group (NASAG), comprising of Commonwealth, State and Territory Government planning and transport officials, the Australia Government Department of Defence, the Civil Aviation Safety Authority (CASA), Airservices Australia and the Australian Local Government Association (ALGA), has developed the National Airports Safeguarding Framework.

Commonwealth, State and Territory Ministers considered NASF at the Standing Council on Transport and Infrastructure meeting on 18 May 2012. Ministers agreed to the NASF, noting reservations from New South Wales on the format of Guideline A on measures for managing impacts of aircraft noise. The agreement represents a collective commitment from Governments to ensure that an appropriate balance is

maintained between the social, economic and environmental needs of the community and the effective use of airport sites².

All Guidelines can be found at www.infrastructure.gov.au .

NASF currently consists of a set of nine guidelines, as follows, each has been summarised for its relevance to the subject land and likely implications for future planning and development.

3.1 Guideline A: Measures for Managing Impacts of Aircraft Noise

At Camden Airport, the majority of aircraft operating at the airport are used for pilot training and recreational aviation. Camden Airport consists of two runways, two glider strips and a helicopter landing site on the north side of the main Runway 06/24. The airport does not have a curfew. It operates under Air Traffic Control (ATC) during daylight hours and on a common frequency during night when not operating under air traffic control.

The majority of the fixed wing aircraft will use Runway 06/24, with almost all the traffic operating during daylight hours. Aircraft operating for training activities (i.e. circuits), both fixed wing and helicopters, will be flying to the north-eastern side of the airport.

Guideline A can be used in the assessment of new development applications for noise sensitive uses and is considered to be relevant in advancing planning proposals. The subject land is partly within the endorsed Camden Airport Australian Noise Exposure Forecast (ANEF) 2040.

The portions of the land identified as the Residue Site, Conservation and Mater Dei School and Curtilage lie within the 20 to 25 ANEF zone as illustrated on **Figure M21116/01** (Refer to Appendix "A"). The majority of the subject land is outside the 20 ANEF contour.

For land within the 20 ANEF contour, AS2021:2015 provides building site acceptability based on ANEF zones. Building types such as *School, University* or *House, home unit, flat, caravan park* are considered 'conditionally acceptable' within 20 to 25 ANEF zone.

It should be noted, that while the majority of the subject land is outside the 20 ANEF contour, the actual location of the 20 ANEF contour is difficult to define accurately, mainly because of variation in aircraft flight paths.

Further, recognising that noise does not suddenly stop at the 20 ANEF level, Guideline A provides for frequency based measures of aircraft noise as an additional tool for illustrating potential aircraft noise impacts. This approach combines information into a description of high noise zones, termed the 'Number Above' noise metric. This N70 or N65 or N60 is the number of aircraft noise events per average day which are louder than 70 dB(A) or 65 dB(A) or 60 dB(A) respectively, on the ground. The 70 dB(A) events have often been used to categorise an event as 'noisy' as these correspond to a 60 dB(A) noise level indoors, which can disturb conversation or other indoor activities such as watching television.

² <u>https://www.transportinfrastructurecouncil.gov.au/sites/default/files/SCOTI_2nd_Communique_FINAL.pdf</u>

Figure M21116/02 (Refer to Appendix "B") illustrates the N60 contours and **Figure M2116/03** (Refer to Appendix "B") illustrates the N70 contours as described above and included in the Camden Airport Master Plan 2020.

From the N60 contours it can be seen that parts of the Residue Site and Wivenhoe Village and Residential precincts are forecast to be subject to over 100 noise events of 60 dB(A) or louder on an average day in 2040. The N70 contours indicate that the Residue Site would experience between 50-100 event of 70 dB(A) or louder on an average day in 2040. Other parts of the subject land are expected to experience between 50 and 5 70 dB(A) events per average day.

3.2 Guideline B: Managing the Risk of Building Generated Windshear and Turbulence at Airports

The purpose of this Guideline is to assist land use planners and airport operators in their planning and development processes to reduce the risk of <u>building</u> generated windshear and turbulence at airports near runways. Applicability of this Guideline is initially determined by the location of the 'assessment trigger area' around the runway, that is:

- 1200 m or closer perpendicular from the runway centreline (or extended runway centreline);
- 900 m or closer in front of runway threshold (towards the landside of the airport); and
- 500 m or closer from the runway threshold along the runway.

Should any building developments be proposed within the assessment trigger area, Guideline B refers to the mitigation of risk by use of a 'height multiplier' (that is, the 1 in 35 rule) determining that buildings meeting this rule are not expected to create unsafe wind effects.

The western half of the subject land is within the building generated windshear and turbulence assessment trigger area at Camden Airport as illustrated in **Figure M21116/04** (Refer to Appendix "C").

3.3 Guideline C: Managing the Risk of Wildlife Strikes in the Vicinity of Airports

Guideline C pertains to the way in which existing land use is managed in the vicinity of airports with respect to the attraction of wildlife, particularly birds. Guideline C establishes buffer areas of 3 km, 8 km and 13 km of an airport, where the Aerodrome Reference Point (ARP) is generally used as the point of origin. However, the Guideline acknowledges there may be some circumstances where multiple points of origin may be appropriate. In the case of Camden Airport, where the airport is surrounded by areas that are attractive to wildlife, especially birds, the buffer areas have been measured from the runway thresholds.

The subject land is within the 3 km Wildlife buffer zone as illustrated on **Figure M21116/05** (Refer to Appendix "D"). Attachment 1 to Guideline C (Refer to Appendix "D") provides guidance on the land uses that present a risk of attracting wildlife and triggers (based on distance from the airport) for adopting active measures to mitigate that risk.

Attachment 1 to Guideline C identifies Conservation land uses as follows:

- 'Wildlife sanctuary / conservation area dryland' as having a Wildlife Attraction Risk of 'Moderate' and where this land use is within 3 km of the airport the recommended action is 'mitigation'. This applies for existing developments, as well as for proposed developments/changes to existing developments.
- 'Wildlife sanctuary / conservation area wetland' as having a Wildlife Attraction Risk of 'High'. Proposed wetland developments are considered 'incompatible' within 3 km of an airport under Guideline C.

The project inception meeting held on 29 June 2021 confirmed that the subject land identified as Conservation would be classified as 'conservation area – dryland'. It is understood through advice from Travers Bushfire & Ecology (email dated 26 August 2021) that the proposed rezoning and subdivision is intended to allow the current land uses to remain in place. Currently the existing land uses include conservation, residential and primary production as illustrated below in Figure 2.



Figure 2: Existing Zoning

The proposed zoning rationalises the land use zoning planning provisions; however of particular interest to the airport operations is the change in zoning of the Residue

17 September, 2021 Our File Ref: M21116AL001 Contact: Bridget Wouts

Site at the south eastern end of the subject land from zone RU1 to zone RU2 as illustrated below in Figure 3.



Figure 3: Proposed Zoning

This Residue Site is beneath the Runway 06/24 extended centreline. Changing the Residue Site, e.g. revegetating, may impact the safety of aircraft operations. To mitigate this, it is recommended that vegetation avoids the use of potentially bird attracting species and once established vegetation must be managed to avoid intrusions into the protected airspace (height limitations are discussed in **Section** Error! Reference source not found.). Creation of wetlands should be avoided as being incompatible with NASF Guideline C.

As a certified airport under Part 139 of the *Civil Aviation Safety Regulations 1996* there are number of requirements that must be met, including monitoring and recording of wildlife activity.

Given the adjacent vegetated landscape already exists within the proposed Environmental Conservation precinct, it is assumed current monitoring and management practices are acceptable to Camden Airport. Ongoing discussions with the airport operator are recommended to confirm the monitoring and mitigation measures currently in place will remain appropriate, and will also be applied to the Residue Site going forward.

3.4 Guideline D: Managing the Risk of Wind Turbine Farms as Physical Obstacles to Air Navigation

Guideline D provides guidance to State/Territory and local government decision makers, airport operators and developers of wind farms to jointly address the risk to civil aviation arising from development, presence and use of wind farms and wind monitoring towers.

The subject land does not include any proposal for wind turbine farms.

3.5 Guideline E: Managing the Risk of Distraction to Pilots from Lighting in the Vicinity of Airports

NASF Guideline E provides guidance on the risk of distractions to pilots of aircraft from lighting and light fixture near airports. Advice for the guidance of designers and installation contractors is provided for situations where lights are to be installed within a 6 km radius (applied from the centre point of each runway) of a known aerodrome.

The CASA *Part 139 (Aerodromes) Manual of Standards 2019* Section 9.144: *Lights – requirements for zones* sets out the restrictions and degree of interference ground lights can cause as a pilot approaches. Advice for the guidance of designers and installation contractors is provided for situations where lights are to be installed within a 6 km radius, applied from the centre point of each runway. Within this 6 km radius is a primary area which is divided into four light control zones: A, B, C and D. These zones reflect the degree of interference ground lights can cause pilots as they approach.

The subject land is within the 6 km radius of Camden Airport and partially within the primary area light control zones A, B, C and D as illustrated on **Figure M21116/06** (Refer to Appendix "E"). Lighting associated with any proposals within the corresponding zone should therefore meet the restrictions associated with that Zone as follows:

- Zone A does not allow for any (0 cd) intensity of light sources measured at 3 degrees above the horizontal;
- Zone B allows for the maximum intensity of light sources measured at 3 degrees above the horizontal to be 50 cd;
- Zone C allows for the maximum intensity of light sources measured at 3 degrees above the horizontal to be 150 cd; and
- Zone D allows for the maximum intensity of light sources measured at 3 degrees above the horizontal to be 450 cd.

The design of any potential development should take into consideration NASF Guideline E to ensure that lighting does not compromise aviation safety and specify any mitigation measures to be put in place.

Coloured lights, flashing lights or lasers may cause a hazard to aircraft operations and should be referred to CASA for detailed guidance as they are likely to cause conflict particularly as coloured lights are used to identify different aerodrome facilities and infrastructure. The lighting designer will need to ensure that the lights meet the requirements prescribed in the CASA *Part 139 (Aerodromes) Manual of Standards 2019* Section 9.144: *Lights – requirements for zones.*

Glare caused by reflective surfaces may also be a source of distraction to pilots. It should be noted that solar panel installation is a particular consideration in relation to glare/reflectivity affecting aircraft in various stages of flight as well as ATC operations. If any solar panels are ultimately proposed, the proponent will need to complete a solar glare hazard analysis to satisfy CASA that the safety of aircraft and ATC will not be affected.

3.6 Guideline F: Managing the Risk of Intrusions into the Protected Operational Airspace of Airports

NASF Guideline F is designed to address the issue of intrusions into the operational airspace of airports by tall structures, such as buildings and cranes as well as trees in the vicinity of airports. The Guideline also addresses activities that could cause air turbulence that could affect the normal flight of aircraft operating in the prescribed airspace and/or emissions of steam, other gas, smoke, dust or other particulate matter that could affect the prescribed airspace in accordance with Visual Flight Rules (VFR).

As a leased federal airport, Camden Airport airspace is protected under the *Airports* (*Protection of Airspace*) *Regulations 1996*. Any activities that result in intrusions into the airspace are deemed controlled activities and required approval under the regulations. Controlled activities in relation to prescribed airspace are activities that intrude into the airspace and range from any activity or physical structure or thing such as vegetation that is attached to or in physical contact with the ground. Controlled activities also include a range of activities such as sources of artificial light, reflectivity, activities that cause air turbulence or result in the emission of smoke, dust, steam or other gas, or other particulate matter.

Controlled activities must not be carried out without an approval by the Department of Infrastructure, Transport, Regional Development and Communications (DITRDC). Carrying out a controlled activity without approval is a punishable offence under the *Airports Act 1996*.

3.6.1 Obstacle Limitation Surfaces (OLS)

The Obstacle Limitation Surfaces (OLS) is the protection for aircraft operating on visual flight procedures. It is a series of virtual surfaces around a runway, which establish the height limits for objects in and around an airport.

The subject land is within the lateral extents of the Camden Airport OLS as illustrated on **Figure M21116/07** (Refer to Appendix "F"). The majority of the subject land lies within the OLS inner horizontal surface at 113.0 m AHD.

The Residue Site and the south east end of the Conservation area are partially within the extents of:

- OLS Runway 24 approach surface;
- OLS Runway 06 take-off climb surface; and
- OLS Runway 06/24 transitional surface.

These sloping surfaces range over this section of the subject land from approximately 78 m AHD to 113.0 m AHD as illustrated on **Figure M21116/7.1** (Refer to Appendix "F").

3.6.2 PANS-OPS Airspace

The PANS-OPS protective surfaces are for aircraft operating under instrument flight rules. The existing PANS-OPS protected surfaces for aircraft operating under these non-visual conditions, at Camden Airport, are estimated to be higher than the OLS.

The subject land is within the lateral extents of the Camden Airport PANS-OPS as shown in the Camden Airport Master Plan 2020 Figure 6.6, which shows the most restrictive PANS-OPS limit at approximately 200 m AHD over the subject land.

3.6.3 Height limits

It is important to note that vegetation, as well as structures, must remain below the OLS and PANS-OPS to avoid becoming a hazard to aircraft operations.

The OLS and PANS-OPS limits are conventionally expressed in metres above the Australian Height Datum (AHD), not above ground level. Therefore, limits must be compared to the ground elevations to understand the height available above the ground.

The ground beneath these surfaces varies in elevation ranging from 76 m AHD to 100 m AHD under the OLS as shown **M21116/7.1**. The OLS consists of a complex series of sloping surfaces and also shown on Figure **M21116/7.1**. Therefore, the available height above the ground ranges from approximately 2 m to 10 m across the site (the elevation of the OLS minus the elevation of the ground) and within the extents of the Runway 06 take-off climb surface.

As a certified airport under Part 139 of the *Civil Aviation Safety Regulations 1996* there are number of requirements that must be met, including regular obstacle surveys. These surveys identify infringements of the OLS and report them back to the airport operator for action.

Given the adjacent vegetated landscape already exists within the proposed Environmental Conservation precinct, it is assumed current obstacle monitoring and management practices are acceptable to Camden Airport. Ongoing discussions with the airport operator are recommended to confirm the monitoring and mitigation measures currently in place will remain appropriate, and will also be applied to the Residue Site going forward.

3.6.4 Helicopter Landing Site

Camden Airport has a main HLS located on the northern side of Runway 06/24 with flight paths that operate parallel to the runway centreline so as not to cause traffic conflicts with fixed wing operations. The subject land is beneath the arrival and departure paths for the main HLS as illustrated on **Figure M21116/08** (Refer to Appendix "G").

The helicopter OLS ranges from approximately 107 m AHD to 163 m AHD across the south eastern quadrant of the subject land. The ground beneath this surface is also changing in elevation ranging from 73 m AHD to 95 m AHD. Therefore, the available height above the ground ranges from 34 m to 68 m (the elevation of the helicopter OLS minus the elevation of the ground).

3.7 Guideline G: Protecting Aviation Facilities – Communication, Navigation and Surveillance (CNS)

The purpose of Guideline G is to formalise the protection of CNS facilities in land use planning decisions. This Guideline provides land use planning guidance to better protect CNS facilities which support the system and processes in place by various agencies to safely manage the flow of aircraft into, out of and across Australian airspace. The Guideline also informs procedures which ensure development associated activities within Building Restricted Areas (BRA) of CNS facilities do not adversely affect the facility or cause interference for air traffic controllers or aircraft in transit.

The Camden Airport does not host any CNS facilities as listed in Guideline G.

3.8 Guideline H: Protecting Strategically Important Helicopter Landing Sites

Guideline H provides guidance to State/Territory and local government decision makers as well as the owners/operators of identified strategically important Helicopter Landing Sites (SHLS) for the ongoing operations and to ensure SHLS are not compromised by any propose development. For the purposes of this Guideline, an SHLS is an area <u>not</u> located on an aerodrome. The HLS on Camden Airport is discussed in **Section 3.6.1**.

A SHLS is that as identified as being of strategic importance as well as associated with a hospital, elevated in a populated area and/or subject to instrument flight procedures. The flight path protection areas extend 3.5 km from the SHLS. Camden Hospital is approximately 3 km from the southern end of the subject land but does not appear to include HLS facilities.

3.9 Guideline I: Managing the Risk in Public Safety Zones at the Ends of Runways

Guideline I provides guidance on approaches for the application of Public Safety Areas (PSA) planning framework in Australian jurisdictions. The Guideline is intended to ensure there is no increase in risk from new development and assist land-use planners to better consider public safety when assessing development proposals, rezoning requirements and when developing strategic land use plans.

The Guideline acknowledges that the UK and Queensland approaches to the development of PSA contours are of most relevance to Australia. The dimensions of the Queensland PSA template were determined with reference to the UK methodology for determining third party risk.

Camden Airport Master Plan 2020 has identified Public Safety Areas at the end of each runway. The south eastern end of the subject land is within the Runway 24 PSA as illustrated on **Figure M21116/09** (Refer to Appendix "H").

Any proposals for development on the Residue Site will need to take into consideration Guideline I *Table 1: General guidance for new/proposed developments on compatible and incompatible activities within PSA risk contours.* As a general guide, the types of new or changed development considered compatible or incompatible are included in Table 1 of the Guideline, which is reproduced as Appendix "I".

4. CONCLUSION

REHBEIN Airport Consulting has completed an assessment of the subject land at 229 Macquarie Road Grove, Cobbitty against the NASF Guidelines A through I. The assessment against each Guideline is summarised below:

- **Guideline A**: The majority of the subject land is outside the 20 ANEF contour. Part of the land identified as the Residue Site, Conservation and Mater Dei School and Curtilage lie within the 20 to 25 ANEF zone. Any proposed development within these areas will need to consider AS2021:2015. The likely implications are that land use authorities may consider that the incorporation of noise control features in the construction of residences or schools is appropriate;
- **Guideline B:** The western half of the subject land is within the building generated windshear and turbulence assessment trigger area. Guideline B is applicable to buildings only, as such should any building developments be proposed within the assessment trigger area, they will be subject to the 1 in 35 surface. The likely implications are that where proposed buildings infringe the 1 in 35 surface further assessment will be required in accordance with the Guideline. Further assessment involves a qualified wind engineer or other suitably qualified wind professional to assess the proposed structure using wind tunnel testing or computational fluid dynamics in order to satisfy the approval authority/decision maker that the structure is acceptable;
- **Guideline C**: The subject land is within the 3 km wildlife buffer zone. Conservation area – dryland is a 'moderate' risk under Attachment 1 to Guideline C with 'mitigation' is required. Consultation with the airport operator is required to maintain vegetation and any future vegetation from infringing the Camden Airport protected airspace. The likely implications are that there is limited height restrictions depending on location as low as 2 m above the ground, specifically the Residue Site;
- **Guideline D**: No wind turbines or wind monitoring towers are proposed;
- **Guideline E:** The subject land is within the 6 km radius and the primary area light control zones A, B, C and D. Coloured lights, flashing lights or lasers within the 6 km radius must be referred to CASA. Lighting associated with any proposals within the corresponding zone must therefore meet the restrictions associated with that Zone. The lighting designer will need to ensure that the lights meet the requirements prescribed in the CASA *Part 139 (Aerodromes) Manual of Standards 2019 Section 9.144: Lights requirements for zones.* Compliance within each zone is expected to be manageable given the proposed land is for environmental conservation (E2) and rural landscape (RU2).

- **Guideline F**: The subject land is within the Camden Airport OLS and PANS-OPS extents. The OLS limit ranges from approximately 78 m AHD over the Conservation area at the southern end of the subject land across the Residue Site to 113.0 m AHD over the majority of the subject land. The helicopter OLS ranges from approximately 107 m AHD to 163 m AHD across the south eastern quadrant of the subject land. The PANS-OPS is estimated at approximately 200 m AHD over the subject land. The likely implications are any development proposals on the subject land will need to stay below the OLS and vegetation will also need to be managed to remain below the OLS.
- **Guideline G**: Camden Airport does not host any CNS facilities as listed in Guideline G;
- **Guideline H**: The Camden Hospital is the only hospital within 3.5 km of the subject land however, does not appear to have an HLS and therefore has no implications for the subject proposal; and
- **Guideline I**: the south east portion of the subject land is within the Public Safety Area in accordance with Guideline I. Any proposals for development will need to take into consideration Guideline I *Table 1: General guidance for new/proposed developments on compatible and incompatible activities within PSA risk contours.* The principal implications for the proposal will be to prevent residential development or other activities which encourage the congregation of large numbers of people within the PSA.

For further information in relation to the above, please contact the undersigned.

Yours faithfully For and on behalf of LAMBERT REHBEIN (VIC) PTY LTD

BRIDGET WOUTS MPIA PRINCIPAL CONSULTANT

Enc: Appendix A - I



APPENDIX A

NASF Guideline A – Aircraft Noise Camden Airport Endorsed ANEF 2040 (Figure M21116/01)



www.rehbein.aero			
0	18 09 21	Scale. 1.11,000	- A 3



APPENDIX B

NASF Guideline A – Aircraft Noise Camden Airport - N60 Contour ANEF 2040 (Figure M21116/02)

Camden Airport - N70 Contour ANEF 2040 (Figure M21116/03)



Approved. BMW	Rev	Date	File Ref M21116	Saeet Suze
	0	18 09 21	Scale. 1.15,000	A 3
www.renpein.dero				
NE (03)93284166 info@rehbein.aero				
ELBOURNE VIC 3003			M01116/00	
ALSH STREET			j · ·	
GROUND FLOOR			Figure No	
ON THE ENDORGED CAMDEN AIRPORT ANEL 2040.				



1. GROUND FLOOR			Figure No	
ALSH STREET			_	
ELBOURNE VIC 3003			M21116/03	
nt (03)9328 4166 info@rehbein.aero				
www.rehbein.aero		1		T
		40.00.04	Scale. 1.15,000	IA 3
		18 09 21		1
Approved. BMW	Rev	Date	File Ref M21116	Sager Sure



APPENDIX C

NASF Guideline B – Building Generated Windshear & Turbulence Assessment Trigger Areas (Figure M21116/04)



	,		
BITTY ROAD			WORTH
		VAR	/ 12° E
VILLAGE	5		
251			
		- Care	
ENT CONSULTANTS	DRG No. 201	15PS/REV D.	1
IN2021. LENCE ASSESSMEN NASF) GUIDELINE B	T TRIGGER A VERSION 2.2	REAS ARE BA	SED ON
1. GROUND FLOOR ALSH STREET JELBOURNE VIC 3003		Figure No	/04
int (03) 9328 4166 info@rehbein.aero www.rehbein.aero			

wwwienpen de o				
			Scala 112.000	A 2
	0	18 09 21	Stule 1.13,000	<u>רא</u>
Approved. BMW	Rev	Date	File Ref M21116	524491



APPENDIX D

NASF Guideline C – Wildlife Buffer Zones Wildlife Buffer Zones - Camden Airport (Figure M21116/05)

Attachment 1 to Guideline C



- Internet	State State	
		- M
		US AC
		NON'
		VAR 12° E
		~
		n de la compañía de
		7
		~~~~
		$\rightarrow$
to do al		
STAR		
		$\left  \right\rangle \sim 2$
		$\sim$
	24	
		à
	5	
- And		1993 - 1994 - 1994 - 1994 - 1994 - 1994 - 1994 - 1994 - 1994 - 1994 - 1994 - 1994 - 1994 - 1994 - 1994 - 1994 -
A-Ph	HA J	
		>

			Ещиге Мо	
ALSH STREET			i igore no	
1ELBOURNE VIC 3003			M21116/05	
DNE (03)9328 4166 info@rehbein.aero			IVIZ     10/05	
			Scale 188 000	1 ^ 2
	0	18 09 21		
Approved. BMW	Rev	Date	File Ref M21116	Sager Suite

		Act	ions for Existing Deve	lopments	Actio	ns for Proposed Dev	elopments/
	14/11-11:6-	2 lune un direc			Cha	nges to Existing Dev	elopments
Land Use	Wildlife	3 km radius	8 km radius	13 km radius	3 km radius	8 km radius	13 km radius
Agriculture	Attraction Risk	(Area A)	(Area B)	(Area C)	(Area A)	(Area B)	(Area C)
Agriculture	Llink	Mitigata	Mitigata	Monitor	Incompatible	Mitigata	Manitar
	High	Mitigate	Mitigate	Monitor	Incompatible	Mitigate	Monitor
Piggery	High	Mitigate	Mitigate	Nonitor	Incompatible	Mitigate	Nonitor
Fruit tree farm	High	Mitigate	Mitigate	Nonitor	Incompatible	Mitigate	Monitor
Fish processing /packing plant	High	Mitigate	Mitigate	Monitor	Incompatible	Mitigate	Monitor
Cattle /dairy farm	Moderate	Mitigate	Monitor	Monitor	Mitigate	Mitigate	Monitor
Poultry farm	Moderate	Mitigate	Monitor	Monitor	Mitigate	Mitigate	Monitor
Forestry	Low	Monitor	Monitor	No Action	Monitor	Monitor	No Action
Plant nursery	Low	Monitor	Monitor	No Action	Monitor	Monitor	No Action
Conservation							
Wildlife sanctuary / conservation area - wetland	High	Mitigate	Mitigate	Monitor	Incompatible	Mitigate	Monitor
Wildlife sanctuary / conservation area - dryland	Moderate	Mitigate	Monitor	Monitor	Mitigate	Mitigate	Monitor
Recreation							
Showground	High	Mitigate	Mitigate	Monitor	Incompatible	Mitigate	Monitor
Racetrack / horse riding school	Moderate	Mitigate	Monitor	Monitor	Mitigate	Mitigate	Monitor
Golf course	Moderate	Mitigate	Monitor	Monitor	Mitigate	Mitigate	Monitor
Sports facility (tennis, bowls, etc)	Moderate	Mitigate	Monitor	Monitor	Mitigate	Mitigate	Monitor
Park / Playground	Moderate	Mitigate	Monitor	Monitor	Mitigate	Mitigate	Monitor
Picnic / camping ground	Moderate	Mitigate	Monitor	Monitor	Mitigate	Mitigate	Monitor
Commercial				·			
Food processing plant	High	Mitigate	Mitigate	Monitor	Incompatible	Mitigate	Monitor
Warehouse (food storage)	Low	Monitor	Monitor	No Action	Monitor	Monitor	No Action
Fast food / drive-in / outdoor restaurant	Low	Monitor	Monitor	No Action	Monitor	Monitor	No Action
Shopping centre	Low	Monitor	Monitor	No Action	Monitor	Monitor	No Action
Office building	Very Low	Monitor	No Action	No Action	Monitor	No Action	No Action
Hotel / motel	Very Low	Monitor	No Action	No Action	Monitor	No Action	No Action
Car park	Very Low	Monitor	No Action	No Action	Monitor	No Action	No Action
Cinemas	Very Low	Monitor	No Action	No Action	Monitor	No Action	No Action
Warehouse (non-food storage)	Very Low	Monitor	No Action	No Action	Monitor	No Action	No Action
Petrol station	Very Low	Monitor	No Action	No Action	Monitor	No Action	No Action
Utilities			•			1	1
Food / organic waste facility	High	Mitigate	Mitigate	Monitor	Incompatible	Mitigate	Monitor
Putrescible waste facility - landfill	High	Mitigate	Mitigate	Monitor	Incompatible	Mitigate	Monitor
Putrescible waste facility - transfer station	High	Mitigate	Mitigate	Monitor	Incompatible	Mitigate	Monitor
Non-putrescible waste facility - landfill	Moderate	Mitigate	Monitor	Monitor	Mitigate	Mitigate	Monitor
Non-putrescible waste facility - transfer station	Moderate	Mitigate	Monitor	Monitor	Mitigate	Mitigate	Monitor
Sewage / wastewater treatment facility	Moderate	Mitigate	Monitor	Monitor	Mitigate	Mitigate	Monitor
Potable water treatment facility	Low	Monitor	Monitor	No Action	Monitor	Monitor	No Action

-----



# **APPENDIX E**

NASF Guideline E – Lighting in the Vicinity of Airports Maximum Lighting Intensity Zones (Figure M21116/06)



Max Meas	imum sured	Intensi at 3° Ak	ity of Light	AR 12° E
5	Zone	н		
	Zone	<u>в</u> С	15	0 cd
	Zone		15	0 cd
*cd = Ca	ndela (†	he comm	n candle em	its light at an
intensity	ofrou	ghly one c	andela)	Birdtun
MOOE			1200m	A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACTOR
GROUND FLOOR			Figure No.	
NE (03) 9328 4166 info@rehbein.aero www.rehbein.aero				10/00
Depended DMbd	0	18 09 21	Scale 1 11.00	0 A3
Approved BMW	Rev	Date	Line Ker W21	ID Sete



### **APPENDIX F**

NASF Guideline F – Protected Operational Airspace Obstacle Limitation Surfaces (Figure M21116/07 & Figure M21116/7.1)



1. GROUND FLOOR			Figure No	
ALSH STREET 1ELBOURNE VIC 3003				
DNE (03)93284166 info@rehbein.aero			M21116/07	
www.rensen.dero	0	18 09 21	Scale. 1.13,000	A 3
Approved. BMW	Rev	Date	File Ref M21116	Suger Sure

![](_page_28_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_0.jpeg)

# **APPENDIX G**

NASF Guideline F – Protected Operational Airspace Helicopter OLS (Figure M21116/08)

![](_page_30_Figure_0.jpeg)

Approved. BMW	Rev	Date	File Ref M21116	Suger Sure
	0	18 09 21		ראך
			Scalo 111000	1 ^ 2
info@rehbein.aero				
ONE (03) 9328 4166			I M21116/08	
1ELBOURNE VIC 3003			10111000	
ALSH STREET			rigore no	
1 GROUND EL COR			FUTURA NO	

![](_page_31_Picture_0.jpeg)

# **APPENDIX H**

NASF Guideline I – Public Safety Areas Camden Airport – Public Safety Areas MP 2020 (Figure M21116/09)

![](_page_32_Figure_0.jpeg)

1. GROUND FLOOR			Figure No	
ALSH STREET IELBOURNE VIC 3003			M01116/00	
DNE (03)9328 4166 info@rehbein.aero			WZ1110/09	
www.rehbein.aero			Scole 1 11 000	۲۸٦
	0	18 09 21	500.00	-~-
Approved. BMW	Rev	Date	File Ref M21116	Sager Sure

![](_page_33_Picture_0.jpeg)

# **APPENDIX I**

NASF Guideline I - Table 1

Table 1: General guidance for new/proposed developments on	compatible and incompatible activities within PSA risk contours
------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------

PSA	COMPATIBLE USES	INCOMPATIBLE USES/ACTIVITIES
OUTER AREA - 1 in 100,000	<ul> <li>Long stay and employee car parking (where the minimum stay is expected to be in excess of six hours)</li> <li>Shorter stay car parking (with a safety case – depends on intensity of use)</li> <li>Built development for the purpose of housing plant or machinery and would require no people on site on a regular basis, such as electricity switching stations or installations associated with the supply or treatment of water</li> <li>Golf courses, but not club houses (provided appropriate mitigation measures are in place to reduce wildlife attraction risk - see NASF Guideline C)</li> <li>Open storage and types of warehouses with a very small number of people on site. The planning authority could consider imposing conditions to prevent future intensification of the use of the site and limit the number of people to be present on the site</li> <li>Developments which require few or no people on site on a regular basis such as buildings housing plant or machinery</li> <li>Low intensity public open space</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Accommodation activities: This includes dwelling houses, multiple dwellings, resort complexes, tourist park, hostels, retirement villages or other residential care buildings</li> <li>Community activities: educational establishment, community centres, hospitals, theatres, childcare and playgrounds, detention facilities, place of worship</li> <li>Recreation activities: This includes parks, outdoor recreation and sport, major sport and entertainment facilities</li> <li>Entertainment and centre activities: Shopping centres, service stations, showrooms, markets, hotels, theatres, tourist attraction, garden centres</li> <li>Industrial and commercial uses involving large numbers of workers or customers: Intensive uses such as high impact, medium and low impact industry, warehousing, services industry</li> <li>Manufacture or bulk storage of flammable, explosive or noxious materials</li> <li>Public passenger transport infrastructure: This includes bus, train and light rail stations</li> </ul>
INNER AREA - 1 in 10,000	<ul> <li>Long stay and employee car parking (where the minimum stay is expected to be in excess of six hours)</li> <li>Built development for the purpose of housing plant or machinery and would require no people on site on a regular basis, such as electricity switching stations or installations associated with the supply or treatment of water</li> <li>Golf courses, but not club houses (provided appropriate mitigation measures are in place to reduce wildlife attraction risk - see NASF Guideline C)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Accommodation activities: This includes dwelling houses, multiple dwellings, resort complexes, tourist park, hostels, retirement villages or other residential care buildings</li> <li>Community activities: educational establishment, community centres, hospitals, theatres, childcare and playgrounds, detention facilities, place of worship</li> <li>Recreation activities: This includes parks, outdoor recreation and sport, major sport and entertainment facilities</li> <li>Entertainment and centre activities: Shopping centres, service stations, showrooms, markets, hotels, theatres, tourist attraction, garden centres</li> <li>Industrial and commercial uses involving large numbers of workers or customers: Intensive uses such as high impact, medium and low impact industry, warehousing, services industry</li> <li>Manufacture or bulk storage of flammable, explosive or noxious materials</li> <li>Public passenger transport infrastructure: This includes bus, train and light rail stations</li> </ul>

Guideline I: Managing the Risk in Public Safety Areas at the Ends of Runways